Ciphers for MPC and FHE

Martin Albrecht (RHUL), Christian Rechberger (DTU) Thomas Schneider (TUD) Michael Zohner (TUD) Tyge Tiessen (DTU)

Example application: Secure database join, three parties



FHE application:

Avoid ciphertext expansion

FHE schemes typically come with a ciphertext expansion in the order of 1000s to 100000s.

Proposed solution: encrypt with AES first! Cloud homomorphically decrypts them (FHE AES needed).

New designs for new computational models

- Since 1970s: balance between linear and nonlinear operations
- Idea: Explore *extreme* trade-offs

How would an efficient cipher look like if linear operations were for free?

• Metrics: AND-depth, #ANDs/bit, #ANDs

Round transformation



 $S_2(A, B, C) = A \oplus B \oplus C \oplus AB$

 $S_0 S_1 S_2$

Visualizing the design space



of ANDs per bit

Concrete instances

| blocksize n | m sboxes | $\frac{\text{keysize}}{k}$ | data d | $\frac{r}{r}$ | ANDdepth | ANDs per bit |
|---|----------|----------------------------|-----------|---|---|-----------------|
| $\begin{array}{c} 256 \\ 256 \end{array}$ | 49 63 | 80 128 | 64 128 | $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | 6.3 8.86 |

Properties and Advantages

- Low AND-depth and ANDs/encrypted bit
- Block size and security claims (data complexity and time complexity) de-coupled
- Differential and linear attacks will *provably* not work, except for extremely unlucky choices of linear layers

Implementation

- FHE:
 - 4 times faster than fastest AES Implementations
 - 20 times faster than fastest PRINCE Implementation

• MPC:

– faster (detailed benchmarks in paper)

Conclusions

- New application for FSE research
- Balanced proposal, but also more extreme possibilities
 - AND-depth only 9 for 128-bit security
 - 3.5 ANDs per encrypted bit
- Of course, more cryptanalysis needed!
- Full version of EC2015 paper on eprint soon